BEFORE THE PHYSICIAN ASSISTANT BOARD MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Statement of)
Issues Against:)
)
)
ANTHONY MARCUS CRAMER) Case No. 950-2014-000436
)
)
Respondent)
<u>-</u>	

DECISION AND ORDER

The attached Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order is hereby adopted as the Decision and Order of the Physician Assistant Board, Medical Board of California, Department of Consumer Affairs, State of California.

This Decision shall become effective at 5:00 p.m. on April 6, 2016.

IT IS SO ORDERED March 7, 2016.

PHYSICIAN ASSISTANT BOARD

Robert E. Sachs, P.A., Chair

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Kamala D. Harris Attorney General of California Alexandra M. Alvarez Supervising Deputy Attorney General Joseph F. McKenna III Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 231195 600 West Broadway, Suite 1800 San Diego, CA 92101 P.O. Box 85266 San Diego, CA 92186-5266 Telephone: (619) 645-2997 Facsimile: (619) 645-2061 Attorneys for Complainant	
9		
10	BEFORE THE PHYSICIAN ASSISTANT BOARD	
11	DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
12		
13	In the Matter of the Statement of Issues Against:	Case No. 950-2014-000436 OAH No. 2015080709
15	ANTHONY MARCUS CRAMER 4880 Roundup Road Norco, CA 92860	STIPULATED SETTLEMENT AND DISCIPLINARY ORDER
16	Respondent.	
17	respondent.	
18		
19	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGR	EED by and between the parties to the above-
20	entitled proceedings that the following matters are true:	
21	<u>PARTIES</u>	
22	1. Glenn L. Mitchell, Jr., (Complainant) is the Executive Officer of the Physician	
23	Assistant Board. He brought this action solely in his official capacity and is represented in this	
24	matter by Kamala D. Harris, Attorney General of the State of California, and by Joseph F.	
25	McKenna III, Deputy Attorney General.	
26	2. Anthony Marcus Cramer (respondent), is represented in this proceeding by Scott J.	
27	Harris, Esq., whose address is 8383 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 830, Beverly Hills, California,	
28	90211.	
	1	

- 3. On or about August 29, 2014, the Physician Assistant Board (Board) received an application for a physician assistant license from respondent.
- 4. On or about November 19, 2014, the Board denied respondent's application. On or about December 1, 2014, the Board received a letter from respondent requesting a hearing pursuant to the denial of his application.

JURISDICTION

5. On July 28, 2015, complainant Glenn L. Mitchell, Jr., in his official capacity as the Executive Officer of the Board, filed Statement of Issues No. 950-2014-000436 against respondent. On July 28, 2015, respondent was properly served with a true and correct copy of Statement of Issues No. 950-2014-000436, together with true and correct copies of all other statutorily required documents, at his address of record on file with the Board which was and is: 4880 Roundup Road, Norco, California, 92860. A true and correct copy of Statement of Issues No. 950-2014-000436 is attached hereto as Exhibit A and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

ADVISEMENT AND WAIVERS

- 6. Respondent has carefully read, fully discussed with counsel, and fully understands the charges and allegations in Statement of Issues No. 950-2014-000436. Respondent has also carefully read, fully discussed with counsel, and fully understands the effects of this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order.
- 7. Respondent is fully aware of his legal rights in this matter, including the right to a hearing on the charges and allegations in the Statement of Issues; the right to confront and cross-examine the witnesses against him; the right to present evidence and to testify on his own behalf; the right to the issuance of subpoenas to compel the attendance of witnesses and the production of documents; the right to reconsideration and court review of an adverse decision; and all other rights accorded by the California Administrative Procedure Act, the California Code of Civil Procedure, and other applicable laws, having been fully advised of same by his attorney of record, Scott J. Harris, Esq.

8. Respondent, having the benefit of counsel, hereby voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently waives and gives up each and every right set forth above.

CULPABILITY

- 9. Respondent understands and agrees that the charges and allegations in Statement of Issues No. 950-2014-000436, if proven at a hearing, constitute cause for denying his application for a physician assistant license. For the purpose of resolving the Statement of Issues without the expense and uncertainty of further proceedings, respondent agrees that, at a hearing, Complainant could establish a factual basis for the charges in the Statement of Issues, and that respondent hereby gives up his right to contest those charges.
- 10. Respondent agrees that if he ever petitions for early termination or modification of probation, or if an accusation and/or petition to revoke probation is filed against him before the Physician Assistant Board, all of the charges and allegations contained in Statement of Issues No. 950-2014-000436 shall be deemed true, correct and fully admitted by respondent for purposes of any such proceeding, or any other licensing proceeding involving respondent in the State of California.
- 11. Respondent agrees that his application for a physician assistant license is subject to denial and he agrees to be bound by the Board's imposition of discipline as set forth in the Disciplinary Order below.

CONTINGENCY

- 12. The parties agree that this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order shall be submitted to the Board for its consideration in the above-entitled matter and, further, that the Board shall have a reasonable period of time in which to consider and act on this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order after receiving it. By signing this stipulation, respondent fully understands and agrees that he may not withdraw his agreement or seek to rescind this stipulation prior to the time the Board considers and acts upon it.
- 13. The parties agree that this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order shall be null and void and not binding upon the parties unless approved and adopted by the Board, except for this paragraph, which shall remain in full force and effect. Respondent fully understands and

///

///

25

26

27

28

DISCIPLINARY ORDER

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the application of respondent Anthony Marcus Cramer for a license as a physician assistant is granted. However, respondent's physician assistant license shall be and hereby is immediately revoked, with the revocation stayed and respondent placed on probation for a period of five (5) years from the effective date of this Decision and Disciplinary Order on the following terms and conditions:

1. Controlled Drugs - Maintain Record

Respondent shall maintain a record of all controlled substances administered, transmitted orally or in writing on a patient's record or handed to a patient by the respondent during probation showing all the following: 1) the name and address of the patient, 2) the date, 3) the character and quantity of controlled substances involved, 4) the indications and diagnosis for which the controlled substance was furnished, and 5) the name of supervising physician prescriber.

Respondent shall keep these records in a separate file or ledger, in chronological order, and shall make them available for immediate inspection and copying by the Board or its designee, upon request and without charge.

The supervising physician shall review, sign, and date the controlled substances record on a *monthly* basis for the *first* year of probation.

2. **Drugs – Abstain From Use**

Respondent shall abstain completely from the personal use or possession of controlled substances as defined in the California Uniform Controlled Substances Act, and dangerous drugs as defined by Section 4211 of the Business and Professions Code, or any drugs requiring a prescription.

This condition does not apply to medications lawfully prescribed to respondent for a bona fide illness or condition by another practitioner. However, within fifteen (15) calendar days of receiving any lawful prescription medications, respondent shall notify the Board or its designee of the issuing practitioner's name, address, telephone number, medication name, strength, issuing pharmacy name, address, and telephone number.

3. Alcohol – Abstain From Use

Respondent shall abstain completely from the use of products or beverages containing alcohol.

4. Biological Fluid Testing

Respondent shall immediately submit to biological fluid testing upon the request of the Board or its designee. Respondent shall pay the cost of biological fluid testing.

5. Diversion Program

Within thirty (30) days of the effective date of this decision, respondent shall enroll and participate in the Board's Diversion Program until the program determines that further treatment and rehabilitation is no longer necessary. Respondent shall successfully complete the program. The program determines whether or not respondent successfully completes the program.

Respondent shall pay all costs of the program.

If the program determines that respondent is a danger to the public, upon notification from the program, respondent shall immediately cease practicing as a physician assistant until notified in writing by the Board or its designee that respondent may resume practice. The period of time that respondent is not practicing shall not be counted toward completion of the term of probation.

6. Ethics Course

Within sixty (60) days of the effective date of this decision, respondent shall submit to the Board or its designee for its prior approval a course in ethics. The course shall be limited to classroom, conference, or seminar settings. Respondent shall successfully complete the course within the first year of probation.

Respondent shall pay the cost of the course.

Respondent shall submit a certification of successful completion to the Board or its designee within fifteen (15) days after completing the course.

7. Psychological Evaluation/Treatment

Within sixty (60) days of the effective date of this decision, and on a periodic basis thereafter as may be required by the Board or its designee, respondent shall undergo a psychological evaluation by a Board-appointed psychological evaluator who shall furnish a

psychological report and recommendations to the Board or its designee.

Following the evaluation, respondent shall comply with all restrictions or conditions recommended by the evaluating physician within fifteen (15) calendar days after notification by the Board or its designee.

Respondent may, based on the evaluator's report and recommendations, be required by the Board or its designee to undergo psychological treatment. Upon notification, respondent shall within thirty (30) days submit for prior approval the name and qualifications of a psychological practitioner of respondent's choice. Upon approval of the treating psychological practitioner, respondent shall undergo and continue psychological treatment until further notice from the Board or its designee. Respondent shall have the treating psychological practitioner submit quarterly status reports to the Board or its designee indicating whether the respondent is capable of practicing medicine safely.

Respondent shall pay the cost of all psychological evaluations and treatment.

If the evaluator or treating practitioner determines that the respondent is a danger to the public, upon notification, respondent shall immediately cease practicing as a physician assistant until notified in writing by the Board or its designee that respondent may resume practice.

Respondent shall <u>not</u> practice as a physician assistant until a psychological evaluation has been conducted and respondent is notified in writing by the Board or its designee that respondent may resume practice. The period of time that respondent is not practicing shall not be counted toward completion of the term of probation.

8. Approval of Supervising Physician

Within thirty (30) days of the effective date of this decision, respondent shall submit to the Board or its designee for its prior approval the name and license number of the supervising physician and a practice plan detailing the nature and frequency of supervision to be provided. Respondent shall not practice until the supervising physician and practice plan are approved by the Board or its designee.

Respondent shall have the supervising physician submit quarterly reports to the Board or its designee.

If the supervising physician resigns or is no longer available, respondent shall, within fifteen (15) days, submit the name and license number of a new supervising physician for approval.

9. Notification of Employer and Supervising Physician

Respondent shall notify his current and any subsequent employer and supervising physician(s) of the discipline and provide a copy of the Statement of Issues, Decision, and Order, to each employer and supervising physician(s) during his period of probation, at the onset of that employment. Respondent shall ensure that each employer informs the Board or its designee, in writing within thirty (30) days, verifying that the employer and supervising physician(s) have received a copy of the Statement of Issues, Decision, and Order.

10. Obey All Laws

Respondent shall obey all federal, state, and local laws, and all rules governing the practice of medicine as a physician assistant in California, and remain in full compliance with any court ordered criminal probation, payments, and other orders.

11. Quarterly Reports

Respondent shall submit quarterly declarations under penalty of perjury on forms provided by the Board or its designee, stating whether there has been compliance with all the conditions of probation.

12. Other Probation Requirements

Respondent shall comply with the Board's probation unit. Respondent shall, at all times, keep the Board and probation unit informed of respondent's business and residence addresses. Changes of such addresses shall be immediately communicated in writing to the Board and probation unit. Under no circumstances shall a post office box serve as an address of record, except as allowed by California Code of Regulations 1399.523.

Respondent shall appear in person for an initial probation interview with committee or its designee within ninety (90) days of the decision. Respondent shall attend the initial interview at a time and place determined by the Board or its designee.

Respondent shall, at all times, maintain a current and renewed physician assistant license.

Respondent shall also immediately inform the probation unit, in writing, of any travel to any areas outside the jurisdiction of California which lasts, or is contemplated to last, more than thirty (30) days.

13. Interview With Medical Consultant

Respondent shall appear in person for interviews with the Board's medical or expert physician assistant consultant upon request at various intervals and with reasonable notice.

14. Tolling For Out-of-State Practice or Residence

The period of probation shall not run during the time respondent is residing or practicing outside the jurisdiction of California. If, during probation, respondent moves out of the jurisdiction of California to reside or practice elsewhere, including federal facilities, respondent is required to immediately notify the Board in writing of the date of departure and the date of return, if any.

Respondent's license shall be automatically canceled if respondent's period of temporary or permanent residence or practice outside California totals two (2) years. Respondent's license shall not be canceled as long as respondent is residing and practicing as a physician assistant in another state of the United States and is on active probation with the physician assistant licensing authority of that state, in which case the two (2) year period shall begin on the date probation is completed or terminated in that state.

15. Failure to Practice as a Physician Assistant - California Resident

In the event respondent resides in California and for any reason respondent stops practicing as a physician assistant in California, respondent shall notify the Board or its designee in writing within thirty (30) calendar days prior to the dates of non-practice and return to practice. Any period of non-practice within California, as defined in this condition, will not apply to the reduction of the probationary term and does not relieve respondent of the responsibility to comply with the terms and conditions of probation. Non-practice is defined as any period of time exceeding thirty (30) calendar days in which respondent is not practicing as a physician assistant.

All time spent in a clinical training program that has been approved by the Board or its designee, shall be considered time spent in the practice of medicine. For purposes of this

///

condition, non-practice due to a Board ordered suspension or in compliance with any other condition or probation, shall not be considered a period of non-practice.

Respondent's license shall be automatically canceled if, for a total of two (2) years, respondent resides in California and fails to practice as a physician assistant.

16. Unannounced Clinical Site Visit

The Board or its designee may make unannounced clinical site visits at any time to ensure that respondent is complying with all terms and conditions of probation.

17. Condition Fulfillment

A course, evaluation, or treatment completed after the acts that gave rise to the charges in Statement of Issues No. 950-2014-000436, but prior to the effective date of the Decision may, in the sole discretion of the Board or its designee, be accepted towards the fulfillment of the condition.

18. Completion of Probation

Respondent shall comply with all financial obligations (e.g., probation costs) no later than sixty (60) calendar days prior to the completion of probation. Upon successful completion of probation, respondent's license will be fully restored.

19. Violation of Probation

If respondent violates probation in any respect, the Board, after giving respondent notice and the opportunity to be heard, may revoke probation and carry out the disciplinary order that was stayed. If an accusation or petition to revoke probation is filed against respondent during probation, the Board shall have continuing jurisdiction until the matter is final, and the period of probation shall be extended until the matter is final.

20. **Probation Monitoring Costs**

Respondent shall pay the costs associated with probation monitoring each and every year of probation, as designated by the Board, which may be adjusted on an annual basis. The costs shall be made payable to the Physician Assistant Board and delivered to the Board no later than January 31 of each calendar year.

l

21. Voluntary License Surrender

Following the effective date of this probation, if respondent ceases practicing due to retirement, health reasons, or is otherwise unable to satisfy the terms and conditions of probation, respondent may request the voluntarily surrender of respondent's license to the Board. The Board reserves the right to evaluate the respondent's request and to exercise its discretion whether to grant the request, or to take any other action deemed appropriate and reasonable under the circumstances. Upon formal acceptance of the surrender, respondent shall within fifteen (15) days deliver respondent's wallet and wall certificate to the Board or its designee and shall no longer practice as a physician assistant. Respondent will no longer be subject to the terms and conditions of probation and the surrender of respondent's license shall be deemed disciplinary action. If respondent re-applies for a physician assistant license, the application shall be treated as a petition for reinstatement of a revoked license.

ACCEPTANCE

I have carefully read the above Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order and have fully discussed it with my attorney, Scott J. Harris, Esq. I fully understand the stipulation and the effect it will have on my physician assistant license. I enter into this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently, and agree to be bound by the Decision and Disciplinary Order of the Physician Assistant Board of California.

DATED: 11-19-2015

ANTHONY MARGUS CRAMER
Respondent

I have read and fully discussed with respondent Anthony Marcus Cramer, the terms and conditions and other matters contained in the above Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order.

I approve its form and content.

DATED: 11. 30,15

SCOTT J/HARRIS, ESQ. Attorney for Respondent

ENDORSEMENT The foregoing Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order is hereby respectfully submitted for consideration by the Physician Assistant Board of California. 11/20/15 DATED: Respectfully submitted, KAMALA D. HARRIS Attorney General of California ALEXANDRA M. ALVAREZ Supervising Deputy Attorney General JOSEPH F. MCKENNA III Deputy Attorney General Attorneys for Complainant SD2015700007 Doc.No.81197845

Exhibit A

Statement of Issues No. 950-2014-000436

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Kamala D. Harris Attorney General of California Alexandra M. Alvarez Supervising Deputy Attorney General Joseph F. McKenna III Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 231195 600 West Broadway, Suite 1800 San Diego, CA 92101 P.O. Box 85266 San Diego, CA 92186-5266 Telephone: (619) 645-2997 Facsimile: (619) 645-2061 Attorneys for Complainant	STATE OF CALIFORNIA MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA SACRAMENTO July 28 20/5 BY Worng ANALYST
10	BEFORE THE	
	PHYSICIAN ASSISTANT BOARD DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
12		
13	In the Matter of the Statement of Issues Against:	Case No. 950-2014-000436
14	ANTHONY MARCUS CRAMER 4880 Roundup Road	STATEMENT OF ISSUES
	Norco, CA 92860	
16	Respondent.	
18	Complainant alleges:	
19	PARTIES AND APPL	ICATION HISTORY
20		brings this Statement of Issues solely in his
21	official capacity as the Executive Officer of the Physician Assistant Board.	
22	2. On or about August 29, 2014, the Physician Assistant Board received an application	
23	for a physician assistant license from Anthony Marcus Cramer (respondent). On or about August	
24	22, 2014, respondent certified under penalty of perjury to the truthfulness of all statements,	
25	answers and representations in the application. The Board denied the application on November	
26	19, 2014. On or about December 1, 2014, the Board received a letter from respondent requesting	
27	a hearing pursuant to the denial of his application.	
28	///	
	1	
		STATEMENT OF ISSUES (Case No. 950-2014-000436)

JURISDICTION

- 3. This Statement of Issues is brought before the Board under the authority of the following laws. All section references are to the Business and Professions Code (Code) unless otherwise indicated.
 - 4. Section 3500.5 of the Code states:

"This chapter shall be known and cited as the Physician Assistant Practice Act."

- 5. Section 3501 of the Code states:
 - "(a) As used in this chapter:
 - "(1) 'Board' means the Physician Assistant Board.

٠. . . .

"(7) 'Regulations' means the rules and regulations as set forth in Chapter 13.8 (commencing with Section 1399.500) of Title 16 of the California Code of Regulations.

44 17

6. Section 3504.1 of the Code states:

"Protection of the public shall be the highest priority for the Physician Assistant Board in exercising its licensing, regulatory, and disciplinary functions. Whenever the protection of the public is inconsistent with other interests sought to be promoted, the protection of the public shall be paramount."

7. Section 3510 of the Code states:

"The board may adopt, amend, and repeal regulations as may be necessary to enable it to carry into effect the provisions of this chapter; provided, however, that the Medical Board of California shall adopt, amend, and repeal such regulations as may be necessary to enable the board to implement the provisions of this chapter under its jurisdiction. All regulations shall be in accordance with, and not inconsistent with, the provisions of this chapter. Such regulations shall be adopted, amended, or repealed in accordance with the provisions of Chapter 3.5

(commencing with Section 11340) of Part 1 of Division 3 of Title 2 of the Government Code,"

8. Section 3514.1 of the Code states:

"(a) The board shall formulate by regulation guidelines for the consideration of applications for licensure as a physician assistant.

44 35

9. Section 3519 of the Code states:

"The board shall issue under the name of the Medical Board of California a license to all physician assistant applicants who meet all of the following requirements:

"(c) Not be subject to denial of licensure under Division 1.5 (commencing with Section 475) or Section 3527.

44 ... 22

10. Section 3527 of the Code states:

"(a) The board may order the denial of an application for, or the issuance subject to terms and conditions of, or the suspension or revocation of, or the imposition of probationary conditions upon a physician assistant license after a hearing as required in Section 3528 for unprofessional conduct which includes, but is not limited to, a violation of this chapter, a violation of the Medical Practice Act, or a violation of the regulations adopted by the board or the Medical Board of California.

44 22

11. Section 3528 of the Code states:

"Any proceedings involving the denial, suspension, or revocation of the application for licensure or the license of a physician assistant ... shall be conducted in accordance with Chapter 5 (commencing with Section 11500) of Part 1 of Division 3 of Title 2 of the Government Code."

12. Section 3531 of the Code states:

"A plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere made to a charge of a felony or of any offense which is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of the business or profession to which the license was issued is deemed to be a conviction within the meaning of this chapter. The board may order the license suspended or revoked, or shall decline to issue a license when the time for appeal has elapsed, or the judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal or when an order granting probation is made suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under the provisions of Section 1203.4 of the Penal Code allowing such person to withdraw his or her plea of guilty and to enter a plea of not guilty, or setting aside the verdict of guilty, or dismissing the accusation, information, or indictment."

13. Section 481 of the Code states:

"Each board under the provisions of this code shall develop criteria to aid it, when considering the denial, suspension or revocation of a license, to determine whether a crime or act is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of the business or profession it regulates."

14. Section 2221 of the Code states

"(a) The board may deny a physician's and surgeon's certificate to an applicant guilty of unprofessional conduct or of any cause that would subject a licensee to revocation or suspension of his or her license ..."

15. Section 2234 of the Code states:

"The board shall take action against any licensee who is charged with unprofessional conduct. In addition to other provisions of this article, unprofessional conduct includes, but is not limited to, the following:

"(a) Violating or attempting to violate, directly or indirectly, assisting in or abetting the violation of, or conspiring to violate any provision of this chapter.

٠...

- "(e) The commission of any act involving dishonesty or corruption that is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of a physician and surgeon.
- "(f) Any action or conduct that would have warranted the denial of a certificate.

35 22

16. Section 2236 of the Code states:

"(a) The conviction of any offense substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of a physician and surgeon constitutes unprofessional conduct within the meaning of this chapter. The record of conviction shall be conclusive evidence only of the fact that the conviction occurred.

"(d) A plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction after a plea of nolo contendere is deemed to be a conviction within the meaning of this section and Section 2236.1. The record of conviction shall be conclusive evidence of the fact that the conviction occurred."

17. Section 2237 of the Code states:

- "(a) The conviction of a charge of violating any federal statutes or regulations or any statute or regulation of this state, regulating dangerous drugs or controlled substances, constitutes unprofessional conduct. The record of the conviction is conclusive evidence of such unprofessional conduct. A plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere is deemed to be a conviction within the meaning of this section.
- "(b) Discipline may be ordered in accordance with Section 2227 or the Division of Licensing may order the denial of the license when the time for appeal has elapsed, or the judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal, or when an order granting probation is made suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under the provisions of Section 1203.4 of the

Penal Code allowing such person to withdraw his or her plea of guilty and to enter a plea of not guilty, or setting aside the verdict of guilty, or dismissing the accusation, complaint, information, or indictment."

18. Section 2238 of the Code states:

"A violation of any federal statute or federal regulation or any of the statutes or regulations of this state regulating dangerous drugs or controlled substances constitutes unprofessional conduct."

19. Section 2239 of the Code states:

- "(a) The use or prescribing for or administering to himself or herself, of any controlled substance; or the use of any of the dangerous drugs specified in Section 4022, or of alcoholic beverages, to the extent, or in such a manner as to be dangerous or injurious to the licensee, or to any other person or to the public, or to the extent that such use impairs the ability of the licensee to practice medicine safely or more than one misdemeanor or any felony involving the use, consumption, or self-administration of any of the substances referred to in this section, or any combination thereof, constitutes unprofessional conduct. The record of the conviction is conclusive evidence of such unprofessional conduct.
- "(b) A plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere is deemed to be a conviction within the meaning of this section. The Division of Medical Quality may order discipline of the licensee in accordance with Section 2227 or the Division of Licensing may order the denial of the license when the time for appeal has elapsed or the judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal or when an order granting probation is made suspending imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under the provisions of Section 1203.4 of the Penal Code allowing such person to withdraw his or her plea of guilty and to enter a plea of not guilty, or setting aside the verdict of guilty, or dismissing the accusation, complaint, information, or indictment."

20. Section 1399.521, title 16, of the California Code of Regulations states:

"In addition to the grounds set forth in section 3527, subd. (a), of the code the board may deny, issue subject to terms and conditions, suspend, revoke or place on probation a physician assistant for the following causes:

"(a) Any violation of the State Medical Practice Act which would constitute unprofessional conduct for a physician and surgeon.

46 77

21. Section 1399.525, title 16, of the California Code of Regulations states:

"For the purposes of the denial, suspension or revocation of a license pursuant to division 1.5 (commencing with section 475) of the code, a crime or act shall be considered to be substantially related to the qualifications, functions or duties of a person holding a license under the Physician Assistant Practice Act if to a substantial degree it evidences present or potential unfitness of a person holding such a license to perform the functions authorized by the license in a manner consistent with the public health, safety or welfare. Such crimes or acts shall include, but are not limited to, the following:

- "(a) Violating or attempting to violate, directly or indirectly, or assisting in or abetting the violation of, or conspiring to violate any provision or term of the Medical Practice Act.
- "(b) Violating or attempting to violate, directly or indirectly, or assisting in or abetting the violation of, or conspiring to violate any provision or term of the Physician Assistant Practice Act.

••

"(e) Any crime or act involving the sale, gift, administration, or furnishing of narcotics or dangerous drugs or dangerous devices, as defined in Section 4022 of the code.

"(i) Conviction for driving under the influence of drugs or alcohol."

11

13 14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

2324

25

26

27

28

///

FIRST CAUSE FOR DENIAL OF APPLICATION

(Conviction of Offenses Substantially Related to the Qualifications, Functions or Duties of a Physician Assistant)

22. Respondent's application is subject to denial under code sections 481, 2221, 2234, 2236, 3510, 3514.1, 3519, 3527 and 3531, of the Code, as defined by section 1399.525, subdivisions (a), (b), (e) and (i), title 16, of the California Code of Regulations, in that he has been convicted of offenses substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of a physician assistant, as more particularly alleged hereinafter:

23. 2008 DRIVING UNDER THE INFLUENCE CONVICTION

On or about December 20, 2007, at approximately 0141 hours, San Bernadino Sheriff's Deputy S.G. observed respondent driving a vehicle on a surface street, while swerving across the lane and making unsafe lane changes without using turn signals, and causing other drivers on the road to slow down their vehicles. Deputy S.G. initiated an enforcement stop of respondent's vehicle. Upon contacting respondent in his vehicle, Deputy S.G. asked respondent for his driver's license, registration and proof of insurance. While speaking with respondent, Deputy S.G. observed numerous objective symptoms indicating respondent was impaired including, slow and slurred speech, bloodshot and watery eyes, confusion, belligerence, and the inability to respond to simple questions. Respondent was then requested to perform field sobriety tests (FSTs). Based upon the totality of circumstances including, respondent's driving pattern, objective symptoms and performance on the FSTs, Deputy S.G. arrested respondent for driving under the influence (DUI) of drugs and/or alcohol, in violation of Vehicle Code section 23152, subdivision (a), a misdemeanor. A subsequent inventory search of respondent's vehicle following his arrest led to the discovery of a glass drug pipe and three (3) pink baggies, which contained a white crystal substance that appeared to be methamphetamine. Deputy S.G. also booked respondent for

violation of Health and Safety Code section 11378 [possession of a controlled substance for sale], a felony.

- B. On or about April 23, 2008, in the Superior Court of California, County of San Bernadino, in the case of *The People of the State of California vs. Anthony Marcus Cramer*, Superior Court case number FWV800338, respondent was convicted of Vehicle Code section 23152, subdivision (a), a misdemeanor. Respondent was sentenced to three (3) years of probation and standard terms and conditions including, four (4) month first offender program, three (3) month Narcotics Anonymous program and standard fines.
- C. On or about December 24, 2014, respondent's conviction was dismissed by the court pursuant to Penal Code section 1203.4.

24. <u>2008 UNDER THE INFLUENCE OF A CONTROLLED SUBSTANCE</u> CONVICTION

A. On or about January 19, 2008, at approximately 2310 hours, Corona Police Department Officers were conducting a random probation compliance check at a residence when they observed respondent and another adult male standing next to a Lexus with no license plates, that was parked in a driveway with its driver's side door open. A records check of the Lexus indicated that the vehicle had previously been reported stolen to the Riverside Police Department. The car keys recovered from the Lexus had a paper tag attached to the key chain with a license plate number written on it. A subsequent records check indicated that the license plate number on the paper tag belonged to a recently impounded vehicle that was registered to the respondent. Respondent was then arrested for violation of Penal Code section 496, subdivision (a) [possession of a stolen vehicle], a felony. An inventory search of the Lexus following respondent's arrest led to the discovery of methamphetamine on the front passenger seat. Following respondent and determined that he was under the influence evaluation of respondent and determined that he was under the influence of a drug. Respondent

was additionally charged with violation of Health and Safety Code section 11550, subdivision (a) [under the influence of a controlled substance], a misdemeanor.

- B. On or about June 20, 2008, in the Superior Court of California, County of Riverside, in the case of *The People of the State of California vs. Anthony Marcus Cramer*, Superior Court case number RIF141148, respondent was convicted of Health and Safety Code section 11550, subdivision (a), a misdemeanor. Respondent was sentenced to three (3) years of probation and standard terms and conditions including, ninety (90) days custody in jail.
- C. On or about March 9, 2015, respondent's conviction was dismissed by the court pursuant to Penal Code section 1203.4.

25. 2008 "PETTY THEFT" CONVICTION

On or about July 8, 2008, at approximately 1348 hours, San Bernadino Α. County Sheriff's Deputy M.M. responded to a radio call reporting a theft of merchandise at a Wal-Mart store. After arriving at the store, Deputy M.M. interviewed the store's loss prevention officer [LPO G.J.] who described the events that led to the detention of respondent at the store. LPO G.J. stated that she had observed respondent enter the hardware department and grab a box cutter and a packaged fan off of the shelf. LPO G.J. further stated that she observed respondent use the box cutter to cut open the fan's packaging and conceal a mobile phone charger inside of it. Respondent was then observed removing a mobile phone holster from its packaging and placed the holster in his pocket. Respondent then proceeded to the stationary section and opened a roll of tape and sealed the fan's packaging closed. Respondent then proceeded through the store's checkout and paid for the fan and other merchandise. Respondent then exited the store at which point he was detained by the store's loss prevention officers for failure to pay for the mobile phone charger and mobile phone holster. Respondent gave a statement to Deputy M.M. admitting the theft of the merchandise, but he denied using a box cutter to open the fan's packaging. Deputy M.M. then arrested respondent for

28

violation of Penal Code section 484, subdivision (a) / 490.5, subdivision (a) [petty theft of retail merchandise], a misdemeanor.

- B. On or about July 10, 2009, in the Superior Court of California, County of San Bernadino, in the case of *The People of the State of California vs. Anthony Marcus Cramer*, Superior Court case number MWV804559, respondent was convicted of Penal Code section 484, subdivision (a), 490.5, subdivision (a), a misdemeanor. Respondent was sentenced to three (3) years of probation and standard terms and conditions including, thirty (30) days custody in jail.
- C. On or about December 24, 2014, respondent's conviction was dismissed by the court pursuant to Penal Code section 1203.4.

26. 2008 POSSESSION OF A CONTROLLED SUBSTANCE CONVICTION

On or about September 15, 2008, at approximately 1509 hours, San Α. Bernadino County Sheriff D.S. responded to a radio call reporting that a person was presently attempting to cash a stolen check at a Wells Fargo bank. After arriving at the bank, Deputy D.S. interviewed a bank teller [C.R.] regarding the incident and she identified the respondent as the individual attempting to cash the check. C.R. stated that respondent had come up to her window and asked her to cash a check that had been signed over to him by a friend. The check was issued in the name of [C.S.] and was made out for two hundred and fifty [\$250] dollars. C.R. stated that respondent became very nervous when she told him that the bank will not cash third party checks. Based upon his suspicious behavior, C.R. ran the account number on the check and discovered that it had been flagged as a lost or stolen check, and then called the police. Deputy D.S. then interviewed respondent regarding the incident. Respondent maintained that the check had been signed over to him by C.S. because she did not have a bank account and that she needed the money. Deputy D.S. conducted a consent search of respondent's person and discovered a baggie in his pants pocket containing a white crystal substance that appeared to be methamphetamine. Deputy D.S. performed a field test of the white

crystal substance and it came back positive for methamphetamine. Deputy D.S. then arrested respondent for violations of Penal Code section 475, subdivision (c) [check forgery], Health and Safety Code section 11377, subdivision (a) [possession of a controlled substance] a misdemeanor, and two (2) outstanding warrants.

- B. On or about November 18, 2008, in the Superior Court of California, County of San Bernadino, in the case of *The People of the State of California vs. Anthony Marcus Cramer*, Superior Court case number FWV802737, respondent was convicted of Health and Safety Code section 11377, subdivision (a), a misdemeanor. Respondent was sentenced to three (3) years of probation and standard terms and conditions including, mandatory drug testing and participation in a counseling program as directed by his probation officer.
- C. On or about December 24, 2014, respondent's conviction was dismissed by the court pursuant to Penal Code section 1203.4.

27. 2009 2ND DEGREE BURGLARY CONVICTION

A. On or about November 26, 2008, at approximately 1743 hours, San Bernadino County Sheriff's Deputy T.C. responded to a radio call reporting a foot pursuit occurring at the Victoria Gardens Mall between a loss prevention officer and a theft suspect from Macy's department store. Upon arrival to the mall area, Deputy T.C. observed a male running through a mall parking lot who fit the description of the suspect in the radio call. Deputy T.C. detained the suspect who was in fact the respondent. Deputy T.C. then interviewed a Macy's loss prevention officer [LPO M.T.] who described the events that led to respondent's flight from the store. LPO M.T. stated that he had observed respondent inside Macy's pick up a pair of sunglasses, immediately remove the sales tag from the sunglasses and place the sales tag back on the counter. LPO M.T. further stated that respondent placed the sunglasses on his head and then walked by the store's exit doors "several times" [which in his opinion] was purposely done by respondent to determine if the store alarms would be activated. Respondent then exited Macy's

without paying for the sunglasses. LPO M.T. followed respondent outside of the store and identified himself to respondent as a Macy's loss prevention officer, at which point respondent immediately ran away from the store. Deputy T.C. arrested respondent for a felony violation of Penal Code section 459 [first degree burglary].

- B. On or about July 10, 2009, in the Superior Court of California, County of San Bernadino, in the case of *The People of the State of California vs. Anthony Marcus Cramer*, Superior Court case number FWV803125, respondent was convicted of Penal Code section 459 / 460, subdivision (b) [second degree burglary], a misdemeanor. Respondent was sentenced to three (3) years of probation and standard terms and conditions including, two hundred and seventy (270) days custody in jail and report to Glen Helen Rehabilitation Center.
- C. On or about December 24, 2014, respondent's conviction was dismissed by the court pursuant to Penal Code section 1203.4.

SECOND CAUSE FOR DENIAL OF APPLICATION

(More Than One Misdemeanor Conviction Involving the Use, Consumption, or Self-Administration of Controlled Substances)

28. Respondent has further subjected his application to denial under sections 2221, 2234, 2236, 2237, 3510, 3514.1, 3527 and 2239, as defined by section 2239, subdivision (a), of the Code, and California Code of Regulations, title 16, sections 1399.521 and 1399.525, in that he has suffered more than one (1) misdemeanor conviction for the use of controlled substances, as more particularly alleged in paragraphs 22, 23 and 24, above, which are hereby incorporated by reference and realleged as if fully set forth herein.

THIRD CAUSE FOR DENIAL OF APPLICATION (Drug Related Conviction)

29. Respondent has further subjected his application to denial under sections 2221, 2234, 2238, 2239, 3510, 3514.1, 3527 and 2237, as defined by section 2237, subdivision (a), of the Code, and California Code of Regulations, title 16, sections 1399.521 and 1399.525, in that he

has been convicted of a charge of violating a statute or regulation of this state regulating dangerous drugs or controlled substances as more particularly alleged in paragraphs 22, 23, 24 and 26, above, which are hereby incorporated by reference and realleged as if fully set forth herein.

FOURTH CAUSE FOR DENIAL OF APPLICATION

(Administering a Controlled Substance to Oneself)

30. Respondent has further subjected his application to denial under sections 2221, 2234, 3510, 3514.1, 3527 and 2239, as defined by section 2239, subdivision (a), of the Code, and California Code of Regulations, title 16, sections 1399.521 and 1399.525, in that he has been convicted of a charge involving the use, consumption or self-administration of controlled substances, as more particularly alleged in paragraphs 22, 23 and 24, above, which are hereby incorporated by reference and realleged as if fully set forth herein.

FIFTH CAUSE FOR DENIAL OF APPLICATION

(Unprofessional Conduct)

- 31. Respondent has further subjected his application to denial under sections 481, 2221, 2234, 2236, 2237, 2238, 2239, 3510, 3514.1 and 3527, as defined by section 3527, subdivision (a), of the Code, and California Code of Regulations, title 16, sections 1399.521 and 1399.525, in that he has engaged in conduct which breaches the rules or ethical code of physician assistants, or conduct which is unbecoming to a member in good standing of the physician assistant profession, as more particularly alleged hereinafter:
- 32. Paragraphs 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30 and 31, above, are hereby incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

33. 2006 DRUG ARREST

A. On or about May 5, 2006, at approximately 1829 hours, Corona Police Department officers were conducting a probation compliance check at a residence located at 1317 Via Santiago which was known to police as a suspected drug house, and that recent complaints had indicated that suspected narcotics transactions were taking place at the residence. The officers arrived at the

residence, knocked on the front door and identified themselves as law enforcement and stated their authority to enter the premises. The respondent was among the individuals present in the residence at the time the officers conducted their search of the property. Respondent identified himself as "a federal police officer with the Air Marshals" and that he had stored his gun in a cabinet in the living room.

Officers located a loaded .357 caliber Sig Sauer handgun located in a cabinet next to the stairs and it had a round in the chamber. Respondent was then detained in handcuffs. As part of their investigation, officers performed a field sobriety test on respondent and it was determined that he was under the influence of a controlled substance. A search of respondent's person led to the discovery of a glass pipe in his sock and 1.9 grams of methamphetamine in his pocket. Respondent was then arrested for violations of Health and Safety Code section 11364, subdivision (a) [possession of drug paraphernalia]; Health and Safety Code section 11377, subdivision (a) [possession of a controlled substance]; and Health and Safety Code section 11550, subdivision (a) [under the influence of a controlled substance].

B. At the time of the filing of this Statement of Issues, no court records have been located related to this particular arrest of respondent.

34. 2008 DRUG ARREST

A. On or about March 21, 2008, at approximately 1920 hours, Corona Police Department officers were conducting a probation compliance check at a residence located at 1317 Via Santiago. When officers arrived at the residence, they knocked on the front door and identified themselves as law enforcement. Upon their arrival, Officer H.T. recognized respondent from past contacts and arrests, and knew that respondent had one (1) outstanding felony warrant for his arrest at that time. Officer H.T. then observed an adult female hand respondent an object which he then placed on a shelf while standing inside the garage.

¹ A records check performed on the Sig Sauer handgun revealed that it was not registered.

occupants of the residence, was detained outside of the residence while officers conducted a search of the property. The area where respondent had placed the object was searched by Officer H.T. and he located two glass pipes which contained a thick, white and cloudy substance. The substance was later determined to be methamphetamine and weighed 0.20 grams. Officer H.T. conducted a drug evaluation of respondent and determined that he was under the influence of a controlled substance. Respondent was then arrested for his outstanding warrant, and violations of Health and Safety Code section 11364, subdivision (a) [possession of drug paraphernalia]; Health and Safety Code section 11377, subdivision (a) [possession of a controlled substance]; Health and Safety Code section 11550, subdivision (a) [under the influence of a controlled substance]; and Penal Code section 12022.1 [felony committed while released on bail or recognizance].

Respondent then walked into the residence. Respondent, along with the other

B. At the time of the filing of this Statement of Issues, no court records have been located related to this particular arrest of respondent.

SIXTH CAUSE FOR DENIAL OF APPLICATION

(Violation of Statutes Regulating Dangerous Drugs and Controlled Substances)

Respondent has further subjected his application to denial under sections 2221, 2234, 2236, 2237, 2239, 3510, 3514.1, 3527 and 2238, as defined by section 2238, of the Code, and California Code of Regulations. title 16, sections 1399.521 and 1399.525, in that respondent has violated state statutes regulating controlled substances including, but not limited to, section 2239, subdivision (a), of the Code; Vehicle Code section 23152, subdivision (e) [driving under the influence of any drug]; Health and Safety Code section 11364, subdivision (a) [possession of drug paraphernalia]; Health and Safety Code section 11377, subdivision (a) [possession of a controlled substance]; and Health and Safety Code section 11550, subdivision (a) [under the influence of a controlled substance]; as more particularly alleged in paragraphs 22, 23, 24, 26, 28, 29, 30, 33 and

34, above, which are hereby incorporated by reference and realleged as if fully set forth herein.

SEVENTH CAUSE FOR DENIAL OF APPLICATION

(Dishonesty or Corruption)

36. Respondent has further subjected his application to denial under sections 481, 2221, 3510, 3514.1, 3527, 3531 and 2234, as defined by section 2234, subdivision (e), of the Code, and California Code of Regulations, title 16, sections 1399.521 and 1399.525, in that he has engaged in an act or acts of dishonesty or corruption substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of a physician assistant, as more particularly alleged in paragraphs 22, 25 and 27, above, which are hereby incorporated by reference and realleged as if fully set forth herein.

EIGHTH CAUSE FOR DENIAL OF APPLICATION

(Violation of a Provision or Provisions of the Physician Assistant Practice Act)

37. Respondent has further subjected his application to denial under 2221, 2234, 3510, 3514.1 and 3527, as defined by section 3527, subdivision (a), of the Code, and California Code of Regulations, title 16, sections 1399.521 and 1399.525, in that he violated a provision or provisions of the Physician Assistant Practice Act, as more particularly alleged in paragraphs 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35 and 36, above, which are hereby incorporated by reference and realleged as if fully set forth herein.

NINTH CAUSE FOR DENIAL OF APPLICATION

(Violation of a Provision or Provisions of the Medical Practice Act)

38. Respondent has further subjected his application to denial under sections 2227 and 2234, as defined by section 2234, subdivision (a), of the Code, in that he violated a provision or provisions of the Medical Practice Act, as more particularly alleged in paragraphs 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36 and 37, above, which are hereby incorporated by reference and realleged as if fully set forth herein.

26 | ///

27 | ///

28 | ///

PRAYER

WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged, and that following the hearing, the Physician Assistant Board issue a decision:

- 1. Denying the application of respondent, Anthony Marcus Cramer, for a physician assistant license; and
 - 2. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.

DATED: July 28, 2015

SLENN L. MITCHELL, ÍR.

Executive Officer

Physician Assistant Board

State of California

Complainant

SD2015700007 Doc.No.81084417